

## KONRAD RAYNES & VICTOR, LLP

315 S. Beverly Drive, Suite 210 Beverly Hills, California 90212

Telephone: (310) 556-7983 Facsimile: (310) 556-7984

## **FAX COVER SHEET**

## PLEASE DELIVER THIS FACSIMILE TO EXAMINER MATTHEW D. ANDERSON

TO:

Commissioner for Patents

FROM: David W. Victor

Attn: Examiner Matthew D. Anderson

Group Art Unit 2186 Patent Examining Corps

Facsimile Center

OUR REF:

0018.0074

Washington, D.C. 20231

TELEPHONE: 310-556-7983

.

Total pages, including cover letter: 2

## PTO FAX NUMBER 1-703-746-5703

If you do NOT receive all of the pages, please telephone us at 310/556-7983, or fax us at 310/556-7984.

Title of Document Transmitted: APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW REQUEST **FORM** 

Applicant:

D.A. Burton ct al.

Serial No.:

09/630,228

Filed:

August 1, 2000

Group Art Unit:

21.86

Docket No.:

TUC920000013US1

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on April 16, 2004



KONRAD RAYNES VICTOR

PTOL-413A 0018.0074

	Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form					
	Application No.: 09/630,228 First Named Applicant: D.A. Burton et al.  Examiner: Matthew D. Anderson Art Unit: 2186 Status of Application: Final Office Action					
	Tentative Participants:  (1) David W. Victor  (2) Matthew D. Anderson  (3)					
ļ						
	Issues To Be Discussed					
	Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
	(1) Rejection	1, 2, 16, 17, 31, 32, 43	Cheung			
	(2) Rejection	6, 21, 36	Cheung & Wu			
	(3)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		. 🗖	
	(4)					
Cache is higher level Than system mem,	□ Continuation S	heet Attached				
	Brief Description of Argument to be Presented:  Applicants want to discuss why claims 1, 2, 16,17, 31, 32, and 43 are patentable over the cited cols. 1 and 5 of Cheong, and why the lower level of the cache does not satisfy the requirement of the address of the block in the storage device, which in the claims is separate from the cache. Also, Applicants want to discuss why the dirty bits of Cheong's cache memory address does not teach the claim requirement of an error code for customer data in a block of the storage device, which is different than the cache. Applicants also want to discuss what the Examiner had in mind with respect to the meaning of "customer data".					
	Applicants want to discuss why claims 6, 21, and 36 are patentable over the cited col. 7 of Cheong. > Allowed					
	An Interview was conducted on the above-identified application on					
	NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01) This Application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.123(b)) as soon as possible.					
	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature) (Examiner/SPE Signature)					